

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00336 241643Z

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00  
USIE-00 INRE-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-01 INR-07 IO-13  
L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01  
SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 /083 W  
-----077202 241645Z /43

O 241555Z JUN 77

FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 2227

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USMISSION NATO IMMEDIATE

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T MBFR VIENNA 336

MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

FROM US REP MBFR

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: DATA DISAGGREGATION: JUNE 23 SPC  
MEETING

REF: USNATO 5895 DTG 231741Z JUN 77

1. THIS MESSAGE COMMENTS ON PORTIONS OF THE REVISED DRAFT GUIDANCE AS IT EMERGED FROM JUNE 23 SPC MEETING.
2. REGARDING THE FRG PROPOSAL TO REQUIRE ALLIED NEGOTIATORS TO TELL THE EAST THAT THEY ARE PREPARED TO EXCHANGE DIVISIONAL DATA (PARA 2 AND 3 REFTEL, WE BELIEVE US SHOULD CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE LANGUAGE IN THE ORIGINAL DRAFT GUIDANCE WITH THE AMENDMENT PRO-  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00336 241643Z

POSED BY UK (E.G., REPLACING "SHOULD" WITH "MAY.")

3. WE AGREE WITH UK AND DUTCH REPS THAT EVEN MENTIONING DIVISIONAL DATA IN THE CONTEXT OF OUR INITIAL PROPOSAL COULD MAKE THE EAST MORE APPREHENSIVE ABOUT THE ENTIRE WESTERN PROPOSAL. AS WE ARE ALL AWARE, IT WILL BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT AT BEST TO OBTAIN THE EAST'S AGREEMENT TO A UNIT DISAGGREGATION PROPOSAL, BOTH BECAUSE OF THE

POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY, IN EASTERN EYES, OF THE DATA THEY WOULD BE REQUIRED TO TABLE AND BECAUSE OF THE PRECEDING NEGOTIATING CIRCUMSTANCES (E.G., THE WEST'S UNWILLINGNESS TO FURNISH NATIONAL TOTALS). TO SUGGEST TO THE EAST AT THE OUTSET THAT THE WEST IS CONTEMPLATING AN EXCHANGE OF DIVISIONAL DATA AND THAT IF THEY AGREED TO THIS WESTERN PROPOSAL, THEY WOULD AT LEAST BY IMPLICATION BE COMMITTING THEMSELVES TO FURNISH DATA ON THE NUMERICAL STRENGTH OF EZPNAW PACT DIVISION, WOULD BE LIKELY TO INCREASE EASTERN RESISTANCE TO THE WHOLE PROPOSAL. IN OUR VIEW, THE ISSUE OF DIVISION BREAKDOWN SHOULD BE RAISED WITH THE EAST ONLY AFTER THE PRECEDING DATA DISCUSSION HAS MADE THE NECESSITY FOR SUCH DATA CLEAR. FOR THESE REASONS, WE BELIEVE THE AHG MUST HAVE TACTICAL FLEXIBILITY AS TO WHEN TO RAISE THIS SUBJECT.

4. REGARDING FRG PROPOSAL TO REQUIRE COMPLETION OF EXCHANGE OF GROUND FORCE DATA BEFORE AIR FORCE DATA IS EXCHANGED (PARA 5 REFTEL), WE BELIEVE US SHOULD CONTINUE TO OPPOSE THIS POSITION. ALTHOUGH THIS LANGUAGE IS NOT IDENTICAL TO THAT IN THE ORIGINAL FRG PROPOSAL (WHICH WOULD HAVE REQUIRED COMPLETION OF DISCUSSION OF GROUND FORCE DATA BEFORE EXCHANGE OF AIR FORCE DATA), THE IMPLICATION IS THAT THE EAST WOULD HAVE HAD TO TABLE DATA ON INDIVIDUAL EASTERN DIVISIONS AS ENVISIONED IN THE FRG CONCEPT OR OTHERWISE QUOTE COMPLETE UNQUOTE THE EXCHANGE OF DATA MEETING SOME UNSPECIFIED WESTERN CONDITION WHICH WENT BEYOND TABLING OF THE TOTALS REQUESTED FOR THE GROUND FORCES, BEFORE THE WEST WOULD TABLE DATA ON AIR FORCE MANPOWER. THUS, THE DISADVANTAGES OF THE PRESENT FRG WORDING REMAIN SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00336 241643Z

TO ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES THE SAME AS THOSE OUTLINE IN MBFR 298 PARAS 2 AND 3.

7. COMBINED WITH THE OTHER ASPECTS OF FRG PROPOSAL, TO SUGGEST SUCH A PROCEDURE WOULD CONSIDERABLY INCREASE THE PROBABILITY OF EASTERN REJECTION OF THE WHOLE UNIT DISAGGREGATION APPROACH. THE EAST WOULD IN EFFECT BE REQUIRED TO PUT DOWN NOT ONLY DATA ON MAJOR UNITS BUT ALSO DIVISIONAL DATA BEFORE THE WEST MADE ANY AIR FORCE DATA AVAILABLE. THIS APPROACH IN ITSELF WOULD GIVE THE EAST ADDITIONAL GROUNDS FOR REFUSING THE WESTERN PROPOSAL. MOREOVER, IT WOULD SLANT THE WHOLE DATA EXCHANGE IN THE DIRECTION OF THE WESTERN FOCUS ON GROUND FORCES TO AN EXTENT THE EAST IS CERTAIN TO FIND UNACCEPTABLE AND TO OBJECT TO. SUCH A POSITION WOULD ALSO CREATE A FURTHER UNDESIRABLE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THE DATA DISCUSSION AND THE GENERAL NEGOTIATING POSITION OF EACH SIDE.

8. FYI. DELEGATION'S SUGGESTED TEXT OF DRAFT GUIDANCE MBFR 330, PARA 3 MIGHT PROVIDE AN INTERMEDIATE SOLUTION WHICH COULD HELP MEET FRG CONCERNS, IF THIS PROVES NECESSARY IN DUE COURSE. IT WOULD ENABLE WESTERN NEGOTIATORS TO TELL THE EAST AS PART OF THEIR INITIAL PROPOSAL THAT AIR FORCE DATA COULD BE PROVIDED ONCE EACH SIDE HAD HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THE GROUND FORCE DATA PUT

FORWARD BY THE OTHER SIDE, BUT IT WOULD ALSO ALLOW ALLIED  
NEGOTIATORS TO AGREE TO A SIMULTANEOUS EXCHANGE OF AIR AND GROUND  
FORCE DATA IF REFUSAL TO DO THIS WAS AN OBSTACLE TO EASTERN AGREE-  
MENT TO THE WESTERN PROPOSAL. END FYI.

9. REGARDING THE PROPOSED FRG AMENDMENT ON NATIONAL REDUCTION  
COMMITMENTS (PARA 7 REFTTEL), WE FULLY SUPPORT POSITION TAKEN BY  
MISSION AND BELIEVE IT SHOULD BE MAINTAINED. RESOR

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** DATA, AGREEMENT DRAFT, INFORMATION SECURITY, NONAGGRESSION, NEGOTIATIONS, MEETINGS, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, FORCE & TROOP LEVELS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 24-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977MBFRV00336  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770226-1100  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** MBFR VIENNA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770620/aaaaardj.tel  
**Line Count:** 124  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 734e0477-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION ACDA  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 28-Oct-2004 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2038514  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: DATA DISAGGREGATION: JUNE 23 SPC MEETING  
**TAGS:** PARM, NATO  
**To:** STATE DOD  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvkey:** odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/734e0477-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009